THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

Wednesday, the 17th day of January, 2007

W.P.No.20849 of 1994

Between:

P. Ismail Basha … Petitioner

and

The Divisional Manager, APSRTC,
Ongole Division, Ongole,
Prakasam District … Respondent

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.No.20849 of 1994

ORAL ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking a Certiorari to call for the Proceedings No.03/590(61)/93-Dv.M.O., dated 3-10-1994 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same as arbitrary and illegal.

It appears, the petitioner is a displaced person consequent upon nationalization of bus routes. Under the impugned Proceedings dated 3-10-1994 of the respondent, the petitioner was informed that the Departmental Selection Committee, which met on 27-9-1994 perused the explanation submitted by him and found that no new facts came to light, which warrant for reconsideration of the proposals made in the show cause notice dated 31-3-1994 and hence rejected his claim for absorption.

It seems, the petitioner earlier filed a Writ Petition in W.P.No.7745 of 1991 and basing on the directions given therein, his case for appointment to the post of Driver in the respondent-Corporation was considered and rejected on the ground that he was under height. He filed another Writ Petition in W.P.No.9516 of 1993, which was disposed of on 12-7-1993 directing the respondent-Corporation to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment as a driver as and when the Corporation finds need for appointment of Drivers. Thereafter, the petitioner's case was reconsidered and was rejected.

The very claim for absorption of the displaced persons has

been scrapped by a resolution passed by the Board of the respondent-Corporation. Therefore, nothing survives for adjudication in the Writ Petition. Even otherwise, as per the directions of this Court, the case of the petitioner was reconsidered on 27-9-1994 and the Selection Committee felt that there are no new facts, which warrant reconsideration of the earlier decision to reject his case for appointment as a Driver. The Writ Petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

The Writ Petition is, therefore, dismissed. No order as to costs.

17-1-2007

prk